

April 9, 1990

LB 431, 1031, 1055, 1059, 1109, 1124, 1141
1153, 1153A, 1221, 1246, 1246A
LR 11

retarded in our state. But let's do so in a fashion that makes sense, that is accountable, and we understand exactly what we're getting for our money. And, so these could have been met, both of these goals could have been met with language the Appropriations Committee put out, but that language was rejected. Instead money was added and language deleted, and so that is what's put me in this quandary. I hope, as we work through this issue, and I think we should take some time, it's a 2 million dollar issue, we should try and understand what we hope to accomplish through this change. And I would like to see, on the part of those particularly promoting this amendment, a commitment to deal with this problem and correct these problems, and that might ease my concerns and allow me to vote for this. I need to hear from supporters of this that they know there is a problem and want to deal with this...

PRESIDENT: Time.

SENATOR WESELY: ...problem, otherwise we simply get ourselves into a cycle and a Catch 22 that will not ever end and continue down the road with further problems.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. While the Legislature is in session, and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LB 1109, LB 431, LB 1055, LB 1124, LB 1153, LB 1153A, LB 1221, LB 1246, LB 1246A, LR 11, and LB 1141. Senator Warner, please, followed by Senator Hannibal.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, again, I indicated earlier that as we go along I would at least inform you of the status of the reserve fund as we go. And, as indicated earlier, LB 1059, and that's the only thing we can key to on this because it does make a difference, if this amendment is adopted, and if 1059 is overridden, why there will be a million four left that could be overridden this year and still maintain the 3 percent reserve. However, if this is overridden, if you look out beyond into the next biennium, we would be in a two and a half million deficit situation. But that is no legal requirement to observe that. But it is something that one needs to keep in mind, that assuming that the growth is something less than 6.5 percent in each of the two years in the following biennium, why we would certainly have a problem. On the other hand, if 1059 is not overridden, why then there is something like 3.6 million left, even though this is overridden. And that then is not so tight. But you should keep in mind that as we go